#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

# MEETING OF THE CALIFORNIA INSPECTION & MAINTENANCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

Monday, May 17, 2004 Department of Consumer Affairs 400 'R' Street Sacramento, California

# **COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Norm Covell, Vice-Chair Paul Arney Dennis DeCota Bruce Hotchkiss Judith Lamare Mark Martin Robert Pearman Richard Skaggs Jeffrey Williams

# **COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT**:

Vic Weisser, Chair John Hisserich Gideon Kracov

## **ALSO PRESENT:**

Rocky Carlisle, Executive Officer Lynn Forsyth, Administrative Staff

### **MOTIONS:**

- 1. Committee Member Pearman made a motion for the adoption of the minutes from the April 27, 2004 meeting. The motion received a second from Committee Member Williams. The minutes were unanimously adopted as written.
- 2. Committee Member DeCota made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion received a second from committee member Lamare. The motion was unanimously adopted.

## **DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

- 1. Rocky Carlisle, Executive Officer for the committee provided the committee with updates on the following:
  - Update on new program by CHP on enforcement procedures for unregistered vehicles:
  - Information has been requested from Colorado and Arizona regarding repair costs in order to compare them to California;
  - A meeting was held with ARB and BAR to discuss information regarding data analysis contracts
  - Conference calls have been conducted with the various sub-committees regarding the data for the report due in December.
- 2. Representative of the California Air Resources Board and the Bureau of Automotive Repair presented information relative to the technical support documents for the Evaluation of the Enhanced Vehicle Inspection & Maintenance (Smog Check) Program.
  - Mr. Bob Fletcher, Chief of the Planning and Technical Support Division for the Air Resources Board, described his division's responsibility for the calculations related to benefit estimates. John Taylor and Mark Carlock also assisted with describing the EMFAC model, which included some of the assumptions and adjustments that were made, and how ARB calculated the emission estimates and cost effectiveness.
- 3. The Committee discussed the 16 subcommittee subjects and how nine of the items are focused on the BAR report.